## **Educator Evaluation Closeout**

Implementation certification, data collection, and reporting

## **Important Dates**

Please see the table the Educator Evaluation Closeout Remindersument on the <u>Resources for Educator Evaluation Data Collection and Submission of Educator Evaluation Data Collection and Submission of Educator Evaluation Implementation Certification form.</u>

Evaluation closeout and data submissideradlines are the final State deadlines. Local Level 1 centers will require earlier due dates to allow sufficient time for processing addition to data submission, LEAs must submit the Statement of Confirmation of Staff Evaluation Rating Verification Report.

Reporting Requirements Related to Education Law §3012

Education

Law §3012d. Original SLOs must be the basis of the required student performance subcomponent for all educators whose evaluation measur % CE š Z > [• % % CE  $\rangle$  Å Å o  $\mu$  š ]  $\rangle$  v % o v K E K d of the grades 38 ELA/Math State assessments and/or Startevided growth scoresAlternate SLOs must be the basis of the required student performance subcomponent for all educations or original evaluation measures % CE š Z > [• % % CE  $\rangle$  Å Å o  $\mu$  š ]  $\rangle$  v % o v ] v  $\rangle$  8 EE % A  $\rangle$  Math State Zass 6 Esments s  $\rangle$  ( š Z and/or State provided growth scores

For Educator Evaluation plans und feducation Law §3012, as amended in 2019 cores in each subcomponent and an overall rating based on the contents of the approved evaluation plan should be reported for all educators subject to evaluation unde Education Law §3012.

The table below summarizes the data elements that are required to be submitted for Educator Evaluation under Education Law §3012 via the Staff Evaluation Rating Telatp, exported from your local data systems for each teacher and principa. Please note, If an LEA is unable to complete one of the subcomponents of Educator Evaluation, the complete subcomponer (ts)

	Required Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit subcomponent scores reported as number from 1.094.00 or 0.00 using the following code: REQOB.
Teacher Observation/ Principal School Visit Category	Optional Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit subcomponent scores, as applicable reported as a number from 1.94.00, using the following code: OPTOB.
	If the LEA has an approved variance that include setaeher observation/principal school vis category, the processincluded in the approved varian set he basis of scores and ratings.
Overall Evaluation Rating Category	Overall ratingseported using the following codes: OCR04 = highly effective, OCR03 = effective, OCR02 = developing, OCR01 = ineffective based on the matrix prescribed by Education Law §3012(5).

## Frequently Asked Questions General Questions

Q1:

scores, in whole or in part. This includes gradesELA/math teachers, principals of grades and 912, in addition to any other educator assigned a schoptogram, district, or BOCE ide measure that is based on the NYS grades ELA/Math assessments or Stattevided growth scores, in whole or in part. For these educators, only Transition scores and ratings should be submitted; the original measures based on the NYS grades 38 ELA/math assessments and/or Statevided growth scores are no longer required to be calculated or provided to educators for advisory purposes.

For Educator Evaluation plans und feducation Law §3012 approved prior to January 1, 2020 with an accompanying approved Supplemental Removal form measures and assessments included in the approved Supplemental Removal form shall replace original or alternate SLOs, as applicable.

Please note, agardless of whether an educator receives original or transition scores and ratings, only on TQ /F1 1

observations and principachool visits, and subcomponent weighting, as applicable. The Educator Evaluation summary is broken into groups of educators based on the use of optional subcomponents and the type of scores and ratings needed (original or transition) and provides a design of the items that should be distributed to educators as their final evaluation and those that should be submitted to the Department.

Questions regarding Educator Evaluation summaries can be directed to a local directed to the d

Teacher Observation/ Principal School Visit Category	Required Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit subcomponent scores reported as number from 1.094.00 or 0.00, using the following code: REQOB.				
	Optional Teacher Observati/Principal School Visit subcomponent scores, as applicable, reported as a number from 1.90.00, using the following code: OPTOB.				
Overall Evaluation Rating Category	Overall ratings reported using the following codes: OCR04 = highly effective, OCR03 = effective, OCR02 = developing, OCR01 = ineffective based on the matrix prescribed in Education Law §3012(5).				
EDUCATOR EVALUATION PLANS APPROVED UNDER EDUCASTON AMENDED IN 2019					
Evaluation Category	Description				
StudentPerformance Category	Required Student Performance subcomponent scores reported as a whole number-20m using the following code: REQSP.  Optional Student Performance subcomponent scores, as applicable, reported as a whole number from 920, using the following code: OPTSP.				
	If the LEA has an approved variance that includes the student performance category, the measures and assessments included in the approved variance are the bumb ale number				

Evaluation (or Staff Evaluation Only) for the L2RPT application in SEDDAS.

Q15: What should I do if there are incorrect data in my Staff Evaluation Rating Report?

A: Priorthe October submission deadlind EAsshould verify the data submitted to the Department Level 2 (L2RPT).

If you believe there has been an error in the data reported to the Department, please check your source data to confirm that the data submitted to the peartment are the correct data. If an error still exists after confirming your source data, contact your RIC/Big 5 City School District data center through your local data coordinator to confirm that the data submitted to the Department are the correctable forethe Octobersubmission deadline

After the October deadlinechanges for staff evaluation data will be handled through Ltagel 0 Historical application More information about the availability of Level 0 Historical will be communicated from the Office of Information Reporting Services after the initial reporting cycle is complete

Q16: We do not have full and complete data for all of our educators. What data do we submit to the Department for those educators with incomplete subcomponent scores/ratings? Should a zero be used for an incomplete subcomponent score?

A: If an LEA has one or more educator(s) who are missing a subcomponent evaluation score, the LEA should only report the complete subcomponent(s) and should report an Overall rating for those educator(s) who are missing one or more subcomponent score(s). A value of zero should only be reported for an educator's subcomponent score when the evaluation requirements for the component have been completed and the educator's performance merits a score of zero.

Q17: Should an Overall Rating be reported for an educator who is missing a subcomponent?

A: No, LEAs shoultout report an Overall rating for an educator who is missoing or more subcomponent score(s).

Q18: If an educator is missing a subcomponent, should that educator be left out of the data file?

A: No, to the extent that teacher or principal evaluation is available, LEAs should submit all complete data sets for that educator even if there are one or more subcomponents missing (see Q22 and 23 above). However, an Overall rating should not be submitted for an educator who is missing one or more subcomponents.

Q19. How do I report an educator who was subject to evation under Education Law §3042, but for whom there are no staff evaluation data (i.e. missing all subcomponents)?

A. In the case that an educator has no complete evaluation subcomponents, nothing should be submitted for this educator; they should be **lea**fut of the submitted data file.

Q2 0: If an educator's score is the subject of an ongoing appeal that will not be resolther that the deadline what data should be submitted? If we have submitted and certified our data, but, due to an appeal an educator's score changes aftethe deadline what action is needed?

A: The data submitted to the Department the October submission deadline