THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / 93 0.039[10.86UIR(U)45844 Tc 0.002 1 -1.159 .22()]

## NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but are not incorporated by reference in your plan have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your plan and/or require corrective action.

#### Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### Disclaimers

For guidance related to Educator Evaluation plans, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms related to Educator Evaluation, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Educator Evaluation plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented Educator Evaluation plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this Educator Evaluation plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the Educator Evaluation plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this Educator Evaluation plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

#### **Educator Evaluation Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan and that the Educator Evaluation plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire Educator Evaluation plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that this Educator Evaluation plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.

Assure that it is understood that this LEA's Educator Evaluation plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

#### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

#### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

#### MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

#### Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ V

#### Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

îdentifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;

identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

îWKH LPSDFW RQ WKH /(\$åV DELOLW\ WR PDNH VWURQJ DQG HTXLWDEOH LQIHUHQFHV UHJDL

when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program or students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> <u>Collectively attributed group or team results</u>: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>VWXGHQ</u>WV LQ WKI <u>JURXS WHDP RI WH</u>D<u>FKHUV</u>å FRXUVHV or VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHD</u>P RI WHDFKHUVÅ FRXUV year.

> Collectively attributed linked results: VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV VFKRRO \HDU WDNLQJ assessments in other grades/subjects.

#### ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s); or

## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

third party assessments; or

locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

### HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective		Effective I			Develo	ping	Ineffec	Ineffective												
20																				
		L			L															

Ø	Ø		

#### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### **Teacher Observation Category**

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

#### Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess teacher practice based on the NYS Teaching Standards.

	teachers each rubric applies to.
	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

#### **Rubric Rating Process**

For more information on the Teacher Observation category see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The following is one example of how an LEA might score teacher observations using the selected practice rubric: Domains 1-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each. For each observation, evidence is collected for all observed subcomponents in a domain. A holistic domain score is then determined for each teacher. These domain scores are weighted as indicated above to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The LEA will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be addressed at least once across the observation cycle.

#### 8VH WKH IROORZLQJ VHFWLRQ WR GHVFULEH WKH SURFHVV IRU UDWLQJ DQG VFRULQJ WKH V

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once and that each of the

NYS Teaching Standards is covered across the total number of annual observations.

🗵 Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a

component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).

Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each teacher observation is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rUA g ?

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective

range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the

Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective

range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

\_\_\_\_ \_ \_

\_

\_

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

#### Page Last Modified: 12/20/2022

#### Number and Method of Observation: Subgroup 2

At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: <u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator (supervisor). Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Please identify the second subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies. Probationary Teachers

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type

listed as applicable to the teachers identified above.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply			
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	2	☑ In person			
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	☑ In person			
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	N/A	Not applicable			
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person			
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable			
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable			

#### Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

#### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

## Page Last Modified: 12/20/2022

#### Peer Observation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Z Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or

Highly Effective in the previous school year.

#### Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

#### Page Last Modified: 12/20/2022

#### Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

#### **Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

### **Teacher Improvement Plan Forms**

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;

- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA. 3012-d Teacher TIP update 2023.pdf

## Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

	1	, ,	
Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the	
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length	
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of time for the	
defined in subsequent columns.		teachers	
To add additional groups with a different process,		selected to	
use the "Add Row" button.		receive a final	
		decision from	
		the filing of the	
		av/picetahiPaccea 3110tjs	Fbay.Tde_asCof

_	

#### Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

1-3 days

#### Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

☑ 2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

Annually

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

Superintendent/District Superintendent

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

☑ If the Superintendent/District Superintendent or other party is the entity certifying evaluators, and also acts in the capacity of an evaluator, please assure that the certification process, including such self-certification, is implemented with fidelity.

Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the observation process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that observations are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.

Please check all that apply.

Data analysis to detect disparities on the part of the evaluators

☑ Periodic comparisons of an evaluator's assessment of the same classroom teacher

#### Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

#### Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### **Required Student Performance Measures**

7KH UHTXLUHG VWXGHQW SHUIRUPDQFH PHDVXUH IRU D SULQFLSDO PD\ EH HLWKHU D VWXGH SULQFLSDOåV RYHUDOO UDWLQJ VKDOO EH GHWHUPLQHG EDVHG RQ HYLGHQFH RI SULQFLSDO 6WDQGDUGV

#### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

\$Q LQGLYLGXDOO\ DWWULEXWHG 6/2 LV EDVHG RQ WKH OHDUQLQJ RXWFRPHV RI D VWXGHQW

> Individually attributed results VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ VFKRRO \HDU

#### Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple

building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another

building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

îdentifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;

îdentifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

îWKH LPSDFW RQ WKH /(\$åV DELOLW\ WR PDNH VWURQJ DQG HTXLWDEOH LQIHUHQFHV UHJDU îwhen using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of <u>VWXGHQ</u>WV LQ WK JURXS WHDP RI SULQFLSDOV & EXLOGLQJV SURJUDPV LQ DQ /(\$ in the current school year.

#### ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

third party assessments; or

locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

						L	L												



Ø	Ø		

### Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category. If the Optional subcomponent is used, the peunot u0a Last e Student Performance cegory. Educaory.

#### Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

**Optional Student Performance Subcomponent** 

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or - administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

îOption (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;

îOption (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered

assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

îOption (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;

Dption (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Dption (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;

#### Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the
- ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a
- component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each principal school visit is consistent with locally determined

processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

☑ Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

Each component is weighted equally and averaged

### Scoring the School Visit Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple school visits of the same type, how are those school visits weighted?

Examples of school visits of the same type include but are not limited to:

- Two school visits by the superintendent with one early in the school year to discuss organizational goals and areas for progress weighted at 40% and one late in the school year to present evidence aligned to goals and areas for progress weighted at 60%
- Several school visits by the principal with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on evidence collected and observed over the course of the school year.

Please note: Weighting across school visit type (i.e. Supervisor vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

☑ Multiple school visits of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

#### Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

## Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

## Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

	Overall School Visit Category		
	Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum	
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0	
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74	
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74	
1	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74	

\* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be

assigned.

**HEDI** Ranges

#### Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

### Page Last Modified: 12/20/2022

#### **Principal School Visits**

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.

School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct school visits in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

#### **Required Subcomponents**

At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

At least one school visits must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be

assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers,

so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.

\* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal.

Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

2

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 12/20/2022

#### Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

#### Page Last Modified: 01/20/2023

#### Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

#### Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

#### Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;

- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA. 3012-d\_PIP for MAA update 2023.pdf



#### Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

#### Page Last Modified: 11/03/2022

#### Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's evaluation in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

#### Assessment Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

#### Data Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

Assure that scores for all principals will be reported to SED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per SED requirements.

Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

#### Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

#### Page Last Modified: 01/20/2023

#### Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

☑ 2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator

Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

APPR District Certification (12\_23\_16).pdf

district certification form signed 12-7-17.pdf

APPR LEA certification form - signed 1-19-2023.pdf

# Massapequa Public Schools Teacher Improvement Plan

The Teachelmprovement Plan (TIP) is a structured plan designed to support teachers in addressing specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concernsThe purpose of a TIP is tossist teachers totain effective or highly effective statutishe TIP provides assistance and feedback to the teacher and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

-2 [( -4 (cob 0 -1.)- s)1 (t)va(ddr)3 (e)4 (s) i plssrun pplPca iTssr rsetddreli(i)-6m(s)-1 ( i)e((s) (s)-11.r)3



# MassapequatPolic Schools PrincipalImprovement Plan

The Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns n V X S H U Y L V L R Q plant outdinties ato addrest beseconcerned. d21116e-17 signed d o216 -17 si

# Massapequa Public Schools PrincipalImprovement Plan

### V. EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT

- a. Identify how progress will beneasured and assessed
- b. Specify next steps to be taken based upon progress or lack thereof

### VI. TIMELINE FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES

- a. Identify dates for school visitations consistent with APPR Plan
- b. Identify dates for progress meetings with Superintendent related todeatified targeted goal
- c. Identify dates for quarterly assessment of overall progress

**PIP** Administrator

Date

Principal

DATE

#### LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Flease download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Educator Evaluation plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the Educator to restriction Commissioner for approval the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluation plan, that all provision and the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-Board of Regents, and has been the The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also continue yrappin formation and builded bat all herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principal\* and/or have been amended and/or modified to or otherwise resolved to us the participation of the product of the second se to the The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that the second s Educator Evaluation p memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in the Educator Evaluation plan; and that the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents The school district and its Read Evaluation planes us rejected arrescurded for reasonancery and Chate and Eases received as a resurcer the for finastrone's as a contract the this Educator Evaluation plan man have when here or refrected by the state pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11) The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their Educator Evalue Assure that the overall Educator Evaluation ratio not limited to: tenure Assure that the entire Educator Evaluation will be completed for each teacher or provide the second se later than September 1 of the school year and the school year in w performance is being measured; Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the utegory, if available, and for the Teacher () servation APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for we case later than September 1 of the school year following the year with measured Assure that the Educator Evaluation prantiking enter it ale בבא s once and made available to the public of the LeA's website shall later occur; Assure that complete and accurate teacher prescribed by the Commissioner;

Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the

- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building stuce to the
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the estimated
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each the state of the second s specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with
- Assure in any school year will receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superinterident or their designee pedagenist kinden stent, we stal Law:
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and poor evaluators properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as neces way in accordance statutes and regulations; Assure that LEA has conectively bargamed as
- for the timely and expeditious resolution of an
- Assure that, for teachers once a year acrorosto totala fiumuer or annuar duservan Standards/Lagaret