Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

SOMERS CSD Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program or students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- > <u>Collectively attributed group or team results</u>: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> <u>group/team of teachers' courses</u> or <u>students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.</u>
- > Collectively attributed linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

· State assessment(s); or

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 3 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
☑ Common Branch					
Grade 5					
☑ All non-					
core/elective teachers					
corresponding to the					
grade levels of the					
courses selected					
above(e.g. non-					
core/elective teachers					
in grades 4-8; to list					
non-core/elective					
teachers separately,					
please use the table					
in the following					
section)					
☑ Grade 6 ELA	☐ Collectively	☑ All Regents given			(No
☑ Grade 6 Math	attributed results	in LEA			Response)
☑ Grade 6 Science	(program, school or				
☑ Grade 6 Social	district-wide measure)				
Studies					
☑ Grade 7 ELA					
☑ Grade 7 Math					
☑ Grade 7 Science					
☑ Grade 7 Social					
Studies					
☑ Grade 8 ELA					
☑ Grade 8 Math					
☑ Grade 8 Science					

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 6 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
☑ Grade 8 Social					
Studies					
☑ Grades 9-12 ELA					
☑ All High School					
Regents Math					
Courses					
☑ All High School					
Regents Science					
Courses					
☑ All High School					
Regents History					
Courses					
☑ All non-					
core/elective teachers					
corresponding to the					
grade levels of the					
courses selected					
above(e.g. non-					
core/elective teachers					
in grades 4-8; to list					
non-core/elective					
teachers separately,					
please use the table					
in the following					
section)					

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 7 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 01/23/2023

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 9 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess teacher practice based on the NYS Teaching Standards.

Pularia Nama	If many there are number to settle at
Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized
	please indicate the group(s) of
	teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)	(No Response)
g (Later and Later)	

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

☑ Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

☑ Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted? Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

- Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.
- Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

☑ Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Cate	Overall Observation Category		
	Score and Rating			
•	Minimum	Maximum		
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
-	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74		

^{*} In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 11 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 12 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

_

Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Teacher Observation

The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- · Observations may occur in person, by live virtual observation, or by recorded video, as determined locally.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

Required Subcomponents

• At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

• At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers

Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

trained administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional SubcomponentAu/If seleted ,Aa Td <000307EE>Tj /T1_2 1 Tf ()Tj E o4JuD>>BDC /T1_0 1 Tf .?2tor)E0>>BD</MCID 8 >

	☑
	Image: control of the

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

	₽

SOMERS CSD	Status Da1lm: 04/10/202 0.3:22 PM - Submittd [Educate

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/23/2023

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.			Teacher Observation HEDI ratings must be a consistent with the cons	9	y determined ranges
Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.00
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

	Teacher Observation Category				
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	н	Н	E	D
Category	Effective (E)	Н	E	Е	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	
	Ineffective (I)	D	D		1

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 18 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/23/2023

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Teacher Improvement Plan Template.pdf

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 19 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/23/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.
- ☑ Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a teacher's receipt of their overall rating.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Please review your negotiated appeal process and use the table below to describe the appeal process available to teachers.

Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of time for the
defined in subsequent columns.		teachers
To add additional groups with a different process,		selected to
use the "Add Row" button.		receive a final
		decision from
		the filing of the
		appeal?
☑ All teachers (Select this option ONLY if ALL	☑ The substance of the annual professional performance	Ø 0-30 days
teachers may appeal, including those who received	review [evaluation]; which shall include the following: in the	
a "Highly Effective" or "Effective" rating.)	instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student	
	Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the	
	Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined	
	locally	
	☑ The LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies	

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 20 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 25 of 55

Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Required Student Performance Measures

The required student performance measure for a principal may be either a student learning objective (SLO) or an input model, where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

•	: sco/LBody <opulttrused srogse1="">Tj</opulttrused>

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
20 19 18	17 16 15	14 13	12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
97- 100 96% 92%	85- 89% 84% 75- 79%		55- 59% 49- 54% 44- 48% 39- 43% 34- 38% 29- 38% 25- 28% 21- 24% 17- 20% 13- 16% 9- 12% 5-8% 0-4

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.

Measures and Assessments

Use the table below to list all applicable principals with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

Choose "Add a Row" to include an additional group of principals with a different measure and assessment(s).

		<u> </u>	. ' '		- 1-7
Building Configuration(s) for Applicable Principals Select all that apply	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Applicable School or BOCES- Program Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department
					to complete
					this column.
☑ K-2	☑ Individually attributed results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading	(No Response)
☑ 3-5	☑ Individually			☑ STAR Math	(No Response)

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 28 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Building	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed Course-Specific	Third Party	Applicable
Configuration(s)		Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	School or
for Applicable		Select all that	Select all that apply	Select all that	BOCES-
Principals		apply		apply	Program
Select all that apply					Please leave
					blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
	attributed results			☑ STAR Reading	
☑ 6-8	☑ Collectively	☑ All Regents			(No
☑ 9-12	attributed results	given in LEA			Response)

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 29 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or - administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

For the school visit category, principals' shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Rubric Rating Process

For more information on the Principal School Visit category see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The following is one example of how an LEA might score principal school visits using the selected practice rubric: *Domains 1-4 of the MPPR* rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each. For each school visit, evidence is collected for all observed subcomic d 4P Tf 8 0 0 8 8000300550dP u4ch school visit, evide2rBe5Ee.



SOMERS CSD

Status Date: 04/10/2023 03:22 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/07/2023

Principal School Visits

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct school visits in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

Required Subcomponents

• At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

• At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator.

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least one school visits must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/07/2023

administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A

Does the information in the table above apply to all principals?

☑ Yes, all principals receive the same number of school visits of each type.

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 37 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/07/2023

Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 38 of 55

		·

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Principal Improvement Plan Template.pdf

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 40 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 03/01/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

☑ Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely

			_

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

☑ 1-3 days

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

☑ 2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

☑ Annually

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

☑ BOCES

Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the school visit process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that school visits are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.

Please check all that apply.

☑ Periodic calibration meetings and/or trainings

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 44 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/01/2023

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's evaluation in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assessment Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure that scores for all principals will be reported to SED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per SED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 45 of 55

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 04/10/2023

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

☑ 2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page. LEA Certification Form 2023.pdf

04/10/2023 03:24 PM Page 46 of 55

Teacher Improvement Plan

	Name:		Building:		Date:	
	□ Probationary Year□ Probationary Year□ Probationary Year□ Probationary Year□ Tenured	2 3				
	Areas for Improvement	Goals and	Strategies and	Anticipated	Completion	111.000.00
		<u>Objectives</u>	Supports	Outcomes	I	<u> </u>
	· ·					
			l .			
i						
	•					
F						
-						

Progress Monitoring Meetings

	Dates	Summary		Next Steps	
			***************************************		The state of the s
					The same of the sa
Nemography					
" . —					
7					
	5				
	ι				
= · .				•	
				- p	
, J. J. 110 - 110					
	Many:	HARMON A .			
T					
			· ·		
			'		
- 1					
	1				
	:				
<u> </u>		[



LEA CERTIFICATION TORM. Flease Quyens and property of the second plans.

By signing this doctanient, the LEA area in the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluations plan to the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluations plan to the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluations of Article 14 of the Chille Chill Chille Chille Chille Chille Chille Chille Chille Chille Chill

The LEA and its confecuive pargaining

Educator Evandation phants and mac such plan will any in the made to the such plants and the such plants an

The school district and in the land of the

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make ait with why specific continuous with respect the hier Educator Evaluation plan:

- Assure that the overall Educator Evaluation and toocher and princip.
- Assure that the entire Education of the screen for the screen for the screen for performance is being made.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their scale of the category, if available, and for the Teacher Object category, if available, and for the Teacher Object category or a truly of the category of the cat
- Assure that the reducator five live than will be filled in the LEN's office and made available to the public on the LEN's will no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the special school year or within 10 days after the special school year or within 10 days after the public on the LEN's will later special the special school year or within 10 days after the special school year o
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student date will about neidodulard in One in invitage in a student languigned of the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be included in One in invitage in a student languigned of the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be included in One in invitage in a student languigned of the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be included in One in invitage in a student languigned of the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be included in one in invitage in invitage in a student languigned of the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be invitage in the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be invitage in the complete and accurate teacher and student date will be invitage in the complete in the
- Assauentharther LEA will confitting to the State individual subsemption of the State individual sub
- Assure that the LEApprovides an apportunit, for every electron together and the little in the little in the little student rosters assignment to the little in the littl
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive reedback as part of the evaluation of the second of t
- Assure that any commence commence and the state of the st
- Assumed in the control of the contro
- Assure that such improvement and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the
- Assurational and lead to the state of t
- Asstruction to the time of the control of the con
- Assure that, for teachers 'all'observable' (ives Leaching Standards/Domains of the self-line)

 On The Standards of the self-line

 Standar